The committee began the discussion regarding where to start with partnerships.

M. Schriver shared information about partnership presentation she attended. Partnership had funding source.

M. Bennett raised the question regarding the extent of faculty interest in working in schools.
- The committee discussed a sporadic and uncoordinated approach to PD and work in schools was addressed.

M. Bennett suggested the development of a governing board to address needs of the districts.
- The committee discussed the need for a governing board for partnerships, a need for explicit agreements with districts or educational partners, and a possible planning committee to oversee large school projects.
- The issue of overwhelming districts/partnerships with multiple projects was discussed as a possible barrier.

The committee discussed how partnerships would impact workloads for faculty
- The question was raised about possible release time for faculty.

M. Schriver suggested survey of needs to school with an emphasis placed on the fact that the college is not making a commitment to provide any service at this time.
- B. Nelson shared some possible questions and agreed to email the questions to E. Landers

P. Parsons expressed concern that increased partnerships would require additional work and a partnership coordinator would be needed.

The committee agreed that the first steps to developing a plan for partnerships was to survey the faculty regarding the services available and the willingness of faculty, as well as a needs assessment of area districts.
- E. Landers asked committee members ask to send possible questions by Weds. 2/1/12
- The committee agreed to meet again in two weeks to discuss the possible survey and next steps.
- E. Landers agreed to Doodle a meeting that would accommodate the majority of the committee.