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Place the value for each section in the total column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POINTS</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Total/ Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Research Project Concept** | Description clearly and concisely explains the proposed research project and its benefits. The components are sufficiently addressed:  
- Statement of purpose  
- Relationship to personal research agenda  
- Impact on target population (P-12 learners, higher education, community members, etc.) | Description explains the proposed research project and its benefits. Each of the components are addressed though more clarity is needed for one or more:  
- Statement of purpose  
- Relationship to personal research agenda  
- Impact on target population (P-12 learners, higher education, community members, etc.) | Description of the proposed research project and its benefits is not sufficiently developed and/or focused and/or has missing components:  
- Statement of purpose  
- Relationship to personal research agenda  
- Impact on target population (P-12 learners, higher education, community members, etc.) | |
| **Research Design** | Design clearly and fully presents/expects each of the required components:  
- Research method  
- Project participants  
- Project facilitator  
- Team functioning for planning/developing project  
- Literature review  
- Bridges gaps or extends lit  
- Significance of the study  
- Data analysis plan  
- Research evaluation process | Design is explained but leaves the reader to infer one or more required components:  
- Research method  
- Project participants  
- Project facilitator  
- Team functioning for planning/developing project  
- Literature review  
- Bridges gaps or extends lit  
- Significance of the study  
- Data analysis plan  
- Research evaluation process | Design is not sufficiently developed, is vague or unclear, and/or missing one or more components:  
- Research method  
- Project participants  
- Project facilitator  
- Team functioning for planning/developing project  
- Literature review  
- Bridges gaps or extends lit  
- Significance of the study  
- Data analysis plan  
- Research evaluation process | |
| **Timeline and Bench Marks** | Timeline is realistic and benchmarks are clear  
- Appropriate and sufficient benchmark evidence is clearly identified | Reader has questions on the feasibility of reaching the timeline or benchmarks  
- Benchmark evidence identified but more explanation needed | Missing/insufficient timeline or benchmarks  
- Evidence missing or does not provide a clear sense of achieving benchmarks | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Narrative</th>
<th>Itemized budget is clearly aligned to support project activities; budget items are allowable expenditures.</th>
<th>Most budget items are essential to the project described, some questions of accuracy of item costs, one or two questionable budget items</th>
<th>Some budget items not essential to the project as described or items not listed that should be present, questions about project costs, majority of budget relates to travel or other non-allowable expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narrative clearly supports each budget item</td>
<td>Narrative for each budget item provided but some lack of clarity</td>
<td>Narrative missing for some items, or fails to support items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>External Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>Proposal identifies possible future funding source, amount, funding period, topic and deadlines</td>
<td>Proposal identifies possible future funding source, amount, funding period, topic and deadlines</td>
<td>Missing possible future funding source information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearly describes why this proposal should be considered for funding and is strongly related to the RFP</td>
<td>Limited discussion of why this proposal should be chosen, parts of the grant are not related the researcher’s topic</td>
<td>Weak discussion of why this proposal should be chosen, selected grant is weakly related to researcher’s topic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for Proposal/RFP attached</td>
<td>Call for Proposal/RFP attached</td>
<td>Call for Proposal/RFP not attached</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Comments or Concerns: